

On the Unirationality of the Quintic Hypersurface Containing a 3-Dimensional Linear Space

Nota di ALBERTO CONTE, MARINA MARCHISIO*
e del Socio straniero JACOB P. MURRE**
presentata dal Socio nazionale Alberto CONTE
nell'adunanza del 12 dicembre 2007

Abstract. *Following the method developed by Morin in his paper where he proved that a quintic hypersurface of dimension at least 16 is unirational we prove the unirationality of a quintic hypersurface of dimension at least 6 when it contains a 3-linear space \mathbf{P}^3 .*

Keywords: unirationality.

Riassunto. *Utilizzando il metodo sviluppato da Morin nel lavoro in cui dimostra che un'ipersuperficie quintica di dimensione almeno uguale a 16 è unirazionale, proviamo l'unirazionalità di una ipersuperficie quintica di dimensione almeno uguale a 6 contenente uno spazio lineare tridimensionale \mathbf{P}^3 .*

Parole chiave: unirazionalità.

Introduction

Among the remarkable series of papers in which U. Morin studied the problem of the unirationality of projective hypersurfaces, one of the most delicate is [4] where he shows, using a deep and beautiful geometric argument, that the generic quintic hypersurface $V(5) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^r$ in r -dimensional projective space is unirational as soon as $r \geq 17$. To reach his goal Morin uses his previous result [3] to the effect that as soon as $r \geq 17$ $V(5)$ contains a 3-dimensional linear space and also the celebrated Enriques theorem [2] on the unirationality of the complete intersection $V(2, 3) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^5$ of a quadric and a cubic (the cubic complex) in 5-dimensional projective space. However, Morin remarked in a very clever way, that in order to prove his theorem on the quintic he needed

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 14G05, 14J70.

*Department of Mathematics, University of Turin, Via Carlo Alberto, 10, 10123 Turin, Italy.
E-mail: alberto.conte@unito.it, marina.marchisio@unito.it.

**Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit Leiden, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands.
E-mail: murre@math.leidenuniv.nl.

a more precise result, which follows from the fact that the irrationalities introduced in Enriques proof depend only from the determination of one point $P \in V(2,3)$ and of one of the two families of 2-planes of the quadric through it, so that, when these two hypotheses are fulfilled, the $V(2,3)$ is unirational over the field itself over which the $V(2,3)$ is defined. Since Morin's remark is very concise and the argument very delicate, we thought useful to give in [1] a full proof, with all details filled, of Morin's remark on Enriques theorem. The aim of the present paper is to show that if $V(5) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^r$ is a smooth quintic hypersurface defined over any field k of 0-characteristic and containing a 3-dimensional linear space defined over k , then V is unirational over k as soon as $r \geq 7$. From this follows Morin's theorem [4] stating that if $V(5) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^r$ is a sufficiently general smooth quintic hypersurface defined over k then for $r \geq 17$ $V(5)$ is unirational over a finite extension k_1 of k , since for $r \geq 17$ $V(5)$ always contains, by [4], a 3-dimensional linear space defined over k_1 . We follow closely Morin's original argument, adapting it to our more general setting.

1. Statement of the theorem

k field, $\text{char}(k)=0$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $V = V_{r-1}(5) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^r$ be a smooth quintic hypersurface defined over k . Let $r \geq 7$. Assume that there exists a 3-linear space $\Sigma \simeq \mathbf{P}^3$ contained in V and defined over k . If V is (otherwise) sufficiently general then V is unirational over k .*

Remark 1.2 V otherwise sufficiently general means the following: consider the moduli space \mathcal{M} of the coefficients of the system of $V_{r-1}(5) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^r$ containing such a 3-linear space $\Sigma \simeq \mathbf{P}^3$. Then for a Zariski open set $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ with $\mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$ the $V \in \mathcal{U}$ are unirational (over their field of definition if Σ is also defined over that field).

Corollary 1.3 (Morin) *If $r \geq 17$ and $V = V_{r-1}(5) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^r$ is smooth and defined over k , then V is unirational over a finite extension k_1 of k provided it is sufficiently general.*

Remark 1.4 Sufficiently general means here: consider the moduli space \mathcal{M} of all $V = V_{r-1}(5) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^r$ then there exists a Zariski open set $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ with $\mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$ such that for $V \in \mathcal{U}$ we have V smooth and V unirational over a finite extension of the field of definition of V .

2. Auxiliary results

Theorem 2.1 (Enriques) *Let $W = W_3(2, 3) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^5$ be smooth and defined over k . Then W is unirational over k .*

More precisely (and this is crucial for Morin's proof).

Let $W = Q \cap C$, Q quadric, C cubic hypersurface both defined over k .

Assume:

i) W and Q are smooth,

ii) $\exists P \in W(k)$ (i.e. a k -rational point on W),

iii) one (and hence both) of the two 3-dimensional families of 2-planes on $Q = V_4(2)$ is defined over k . Then W is unirational over k .

Note: *iii)* is satisfied if one of the 2-planes of the family is itself defined over k .

At the end of the proof of our main theorem we shall need the following slightly modified form of Enriques' theorem (see the end of section 6 of [4]).

Theorem 2.2 (Modified Enriques) *Let $W = W_3(2, 3) = Q \cap C \subseteq \mathbf{P}^5$ be defined over k and suppose it contains a 2-plane τ^0 also defined over k . Then W has necessarily a finite number of singular points on τ^0 . Assume that it has only these points as singular points but that it is otherwise smooth.*

Then W is unirational over k .

Proof. Goes in the same way as in the theorem of Enriques (as in [1]) as follows.

Start with a $P_0 \in \tau^0$, rational over k , and smooth in W .

Firstly note that τ^0 is one of the 2-planes of Q . Since τ^0 is defined over k the two families of 2-planes on Q are defined over k . Now the proof goes the same way as in [1]; only remark that for the particular 2-plane τ^0 we do not get a curve since $\tau^0 \subset C$ however we have only to use the "general" planes (of one of the two systems) going through P_0 .

3. Preparations for the proof (and notations)

Let $H = H_\infty \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ be the "hypersurface at infinity". Fix $\Sigma^0 = \Sigma \subset V$ with $\Sigma \simeq \mathbf{P}^3$ and put $\tau^0 = \tau := \Sigma^0 \cap H_\infty$ (2-plane in H_∞). Fix a linear space $S^0 = S_{r-6}$ in H_∞ and also a plane $\alpha^0 = \alpha$ (2-plane).

Moreover we choose S^0 and α^0 in H_∞ such that they are "in general" position also with respect to τ^0 (i.e. they don't intersect and the span $\langle \alpha, \tau \rangle$ intersects S_{r-6} in a point).

4. Start of the proof

We use as coordinates in \mathbf{P}^r letters (T_0, T_1, \dots, T_r) . Let $H = H_\infty$ be $T_0 = 0$. We have fixed $\Sigma^0 \simeq \mathbf{P}^3 \subset V$. Choose coordinates such that Σ^0 has equations $T_4 = T_5 = \dots = T_r = 0$.

Take a point $Y = (1, y_1, y_2, y_3, 0, \dots, 0) \in \Sigma$ generic over k (i.e. y_1, y_2, y_3 independent transcendental over k).

Consider the “cone” $K_Y(V)$ consisting of the lines l through Y having intersection multiplicity at least 4 with V in Y :

$$K_Y(V) = \{l; l.V = 4Y + R, R \in V\},$$

then

$$K_Y(V) = T_Y(V) \cap Q_Y(V) \cap C_Y(V)$$

where $T_Y(V)$ is the tangent space to V in Y , $Q_Y(V)$ is the tangent cone and $C_Y(V)$ is the cone of lines of multiplicity three to V in Y (note $\dim K_Y(V) = r - 3$).

Write

$$Q'_Y(V) = T_Y(V) \cap Q_Y(V)$$

$$C'_Y(V) = T_Y(V) \cap C_Y(V)$$

then $Q'_Y(V)$, resp. $C'_Y(V)$, is a smooth quadric hypersurface (resp. cubic hypersurface) in $T_Y(V)$.

Next consider

$$W_Y = W_Y(V) := K_Y \cap V.$$

Clearly this is a variety of dimension $r - 4$ defined over the field $k(Y)$.

Lemma 4.1 *If R is a generic point of W_Y over $k(Y)$ then R is a generic point of V over k .*

Corollary 4.2 *If W_Y is unirational over $k(Y)$ then V is unirational over k .*

Proof. $k(Y)(R) \supseteq k(R) \simeq k(V)$, by Lemma 4.1.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Let R be generic on W_Y over $k(Y)$. There exists a line $l \subset W_Y$ such that

$$l.V = 4Y + R$$

We get R as solution of:

$$\begin{cases} F(T) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial T}(Y)(T) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial T^2}(Y)(T) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial T^3}(Y)(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Now start with a point $R_* = (r_*)$ of V generic over k , then we find the point Y on Σ via de above equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial T}(Y, r_*) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial T^2}(Y, r_*) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial T^3}(Y, r_*) = 0. \end{cases}$$

and $T_4 = 0, T_5 = 0, \dots, T_r = 0$ (equations of Σ). $\dim \Sigma = 3$ and three homogeneous equations for Y_0, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 .

Hence there exists such a point Y (and in fact starting with R_* we get $4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 = 24$ such points Y).

Conclusion: it suffices to prove that $W_Y(V)$ is unirational over $k(Y)$. (Note: $\dim W_Y = r - 4$.)

5. Continuation of the proof: replacing $W_Y(V)$ by $W'_Y(V)$

Consider

$$W'_Y = W'_Y(V) := K_Y(V) \cap H_\infty.$$

Lemma 5.1 $\phi : W_Y(V) \dashrightarrow W'_Y(V)$ is birational over $k(Y)$.

Proof. This is immediately clear from the construction. For $R \in W_Y(V)$, let $l = \langle R, Y \rangle$ then $l.V = 4Y + R$ and take $\phi(R) = l \cap H_\infty$.

Conversely starting with $R' \in W'_Y$ we have that $l' = \langle R', Y \rangle$ is on K_Y , hence $l.V = 4Y + R$ and put $\phi^{-1}(R') = R$.

Recall $H_Y := H_\infty \cap T_Y(V)$ and clearly $W'_Y(V) \subset H_Y \subset H_\infty$.
Furthermore let

$$Q''_Y := H_\infty \cap T_Y \cap Q_Y$$

and

$$C''_Y := H_\infty \cap T_Y \cap C_Y$$

then $W'_Y = Q''_Y \cap C''_Y$ in H_Y . Note that $\tau^0 = H_\infty \cap \Sigma^0 \subset W'_Y$.

Also consider the line $\alpha_Y := \alpha \cap H_Y$ and $S_{r-7}^Y = S_{r-6} \cap H_Y = S_{r-6} \cap T_Y(V)$. Note that all these varieties are defined over $k(Y)$.

6. Reduction to $V(2, 3)$ in \mathbf{P}^5 : construction of the variety $W''_Y(V)$

Consider in H_∞ again $H_Y = H_\infty \cap T_Y(V)$ and as above $S_{r-7}^Y = S_{r-6} \cap H_Y = S_{r-6} \cap T_Y(V)$. Let $P = (0, p)$ be the generic point of S_{r-7}^Y , generic with respect to the field $k(Y)$ (here $p = (p_1, \dots, p_r)$ stands for the coordinates in H_∞). Now the p_i satisfy linear equations with coefficients in $k(Y)$ so the field $k(Y, P) = k(y_1, y_2, y_3, z_1, \dots, z_{r-7})$ with the z_i independent transcendental over the field $k(Y)$; i.e. the field $k(Y, P)$ is purely transcendental over k of dimension $r - 4$.

(Remark that if $r = 7$ the point P , which is now the intersection point of the line S_{r-6} with $T_Y(V)$ is uniquely determined and already rational over $k(Y)$.)

Consider now the span $L = L_{Y,P} = \langle P, \langle \alpha_Y, \tau \rangle \rangle$; this is a \mathbf{P}^5 in H_Y defined (by linear equations) over the field $k(Y, P)$. Put

$$W''_{Y,P} := W'_Y \cap L_{Y,P}$$

and

$$Q^*_{Y,P} := Q''_Y \cap L_{Y,P}, \quad C^*_{Y,P} := C''_Y \cap L_{Y,P}$$

Now clearly $W''_{Y,P} := Q^*_{Y,P} \cap C^*_{Y,P}$ is in this space $L_{Y,P}$ which is a \mathbf{P}^5 and $W''_{Y,P}$ is of type $V(2, 3)$ in \mathbf{P}^5 .

(Note that for $r = 7$ $W''_{Y,P} := W'_Y$.)

Lemma 6.1 $W''_{Y,P}$ is irreducible and of dimension 3 and, if V is general subject to containing Σ , $W''_{Y,P}$ has at most finitely many singularities in $\tau = \Sigma \cap H_\infty$. Moreover a generic point R'' of $W''_{Y,P}$ over $k(Y, P)$ is a generic point of W'_Y over $k(Y)$.

Proof. Starting from the other side let R_* be a generic point of W'_Y over $k(Y)$, then the span $\langle R_*, \langle \tau, \alpha \rangle \rangle$ is a \mathbf{P}^5 in $H_Y = \mathbf{P}^{r-2}$ and it is defined over the field $k(Y, R_*)$. For dimension reasons in H_Y we have $\langle R_*, \langle \tau, \alpha \rangle \rangle \cap S^Y_{r-7} \neq \emptyset$, let $P_* \in \langle R_*, \langle \tau, \alpha \rangle \rangle \cap S^Y_{r-7}$. On the other hand $\langle \tau, \alpha \rangle \cap S^Y_{r-7} = \emptyset$ (because we did choose α and S_{r-6} in general position w.r.t. τ in H_∞ .) Therefore P_* is unique, hence $k(Y, R_*, P_*) = k(Y, R_*)$. But now $R_* \in W'_Y \cap \langle P_*, \langle \tau, \alpha \rangle \rangle := W''_{Y,P_*}$ and since $\dim(W'_Y \cap \langle \tau, \alpha \rangle) = 2$ we have that $\dim(W''_{Y,P_*}) = 3$. From this it follows that P_* must have transcendence degree $(r - 7)$ over $k(Y)$ and R_* transcendence degree 3 over $k(Y, P_*)$. Therefore P_* is generic in S^Y_{r-7} over $k(Y)$ and R_* generic in W''_{Y,P_*} . Therefore we can assume that $P_* = P$ and $R_* = R''$. This proves the lemma except for the assertion on the singularities. From the fact that V is general subject to containing Σ we get that $W''_{Y,P}$ can have at most singularities on τ and from an easy but laborious computation we can see that we get only isolated singularities in τ .

7. Final part of the proof

We have now $W'' := W''_{Y,P}(V) := Q^*_{Y,P} \cap C^*_{Y,P} \subset \mathbf{P}^5 = \langle P, \langle \tau, \alpha \rangle \rangle \subset S^Y_{r-7}$. From V general subject to containing Σ we have Q^* smooth and W'' smooth except finitely many singularities on τ . We have $\tau \subset W'' \subset Q^*$ a 2-plane defined over $k \subset k(Y)$. Now we take a point $P_0 \in \tau(k(Y))$, smooth on W'' . Now can apply the Theorem 2.2 to conclude that $W''_Y = W''_{Y,P}$ is unirational over $k(Y, P)$, hence, using Lemma 6.1, that W''_Y is unirational (over $k(Y)$), hence by Corollary 4.2 of Lemma 4.1 that V is unirational over k .

References

- [1] A. CONTE, M. MARCHISIO AND J.P. MURRE, *On the k -Unirationality of the Cubic Complex*, Atti dell'Accademia Peloritana dei Pericolanti, Classe di Scienze Fis. Mat. e Nat., Vol. LXXXV (2007), 1-6.

- [2] F. ENRIQUES, *Sopra una involuzione non razionale dello spazio*, Rend. Acc. Lincei, **21**, 81-83, 1912.
- [3] U. MORIN, *Sull'insieme degli spazi lineari contenuti in una ipersuperficie algebrica*, Rend. Acc. Lincei, **24**, 188-190, 1936.
- [4] U. MORIN, *Sulla unirazionalità dell'ipersuperficie algebrica del quinto ordine*, Rend. Acc. Lincei, **27**, 330-332, 1938.

Lavoro pervenuto in redazione il 23.06.2008.